

To: Summerhays, John[Summerhays.John@epa.gov]; Robinson, Randall[robinson.randall@epa.gov]
Cc: Blakley, Pamela[blakley.pamela@epa.gov]
From: Schaufelberger, Daniel
Sent: Fri 4/1/2016 9:27:52 PM
Subject: RE: USG Walworth

470 was my recollection as well. I won't ask about the computer room odors.

Daniel Schaufelberger

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

77 West Jackson Blvd. (AE-17J)
Chicago, IL 60604-3590
Phone: (312) 886-6814

From: Summerhays, John
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 4:16 PM
To: Robinson, Randall <robinson.randall@epa.gov>; Schaufelberger, Daniel <schaufelberger.daniel@epa.gov>
Cc: Blakley, Pamela <blakley.pamela@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: USG Walworth

My notes are in the office, not here, but 470 sounds very close if not precisely what WI got. But I will confirm with WI.

From: Robinson, Randall
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2016 4:00 PM
To: Schaufelberger, Daniel; Summerhays, John
Cc: Blakley, Pamela
Subject: USG Walworth

Hello,

I reran the USG Walworth modeling so that a SO₂ 1-hr "DV" was generated (I used because the DV doesn't include any other facilities or background concentrations). I also created a source group containing the impact from S12. The output file is attached. (This was a physically demanding exercise, the odors in the computer room are overpowering.)

The 1-hr DV for the source was 470.5 ug/m³. Is that what WDNR got as well? The DV for just S12 was 454 ug/m³.

Anyway, let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Randy

Randy Robinson

Air and Radiation Division

EPA Region 5

312 353-6713